

TASK #4

INDIVIDUAL FACILITY OPTIONS FEEDBACK

Current Parent	Former Parent	Future Parent	Community Member	Business Owner	Alumni Resident	Alumni Non-Resident	Staff-Resident	Staff -Non-Resident	Other
						1		1	
	1		1						1
1			1	1					
1			1						
							1		
			1						
1			1		1				
1	1								
1				1			1		
1									
1		1	1						
								1	
								1	
		1							
1									
1									

First Choice	Second Choice	Third Choice	Without Financial Considerations
			Major Adv/Disadv that influenced the decision
G	F	C	1st-Would not disrupt any instruction during construction 2nd-Concern about playground space for recess/neighborhood traffic 3rd-The small-school community feel is nice, but not financially worth it
G	F	C	
F	G	C	1st- Central location 2nd-Too far south 3rd-Too many transitions for kids
F	G	C	
F	G	C	1st-Proximity to Jr. High 2nd-Unsure about impact on neighborhood 3rd-Disadvantages-two schools disrupted
F	G	C	
F	C	G	1st-Central location; no gym, no playground 2nd-Referendum won't pass 3rd-Don't like location, no disruption and powerlines
F	G	C	1st-Good location (library) other schools 2nd-Too far (too far to Lisle library)
G	F	C	1st-No school disruption/student disruption, \$
G	F	C	
F	C	G	1st-1 School centrally located 2nd-No comment 3rd-Too far from students, powerlines
F	G	C	1st-Collaboration with district staff
G	F	C	
F	G	C	1st-Campus feel
G	F	C	1st-Less disruption for students and staff 2nd-Portable classrooms are a concern as is lack of gym and playground
F	G	C	1st-Campus feel-resource consolidation, All Positive 2nd-Traffic is big disadvantage (53&Maple)

First Choice	Second Choice	Third Choice	With Financial Considerations
			Major Adv/Disadv that influenced the decision
G	F	C	3rd-Too expensive
G	F	C	
F	G	C	
F	G	C	
G	F	C	1st & 2nd-Equal-Similar Costs 3rd-Most expensive
G	F	C	1st-Cost and completed in 1 year vs. 2 years
F	G	C	1st-Central location, no gym, no playground 2nd-Don't like location, powerlines, no disruption 3rd-Referendum won't pass
F	G	C	
G	F	C	1st-\$, no disruption 2nd-Parking proximity
G	F	C	1st-\$!!! Costs less, no referendum
F	G	C	1st-More parking, 1 school, centrally located 2nd-Less impact on students for construction 3rd-Too costly <b>Comment: Revisit Option H-one building at Wilde Field</b>
F	G	C	
G	F	C	1st & 2nd-G/F-One building; G-centrally located, more parking, Wilde Field; F-one year sooner, no mobile
F	G	C	1st-Campus feel
G	F	C	
F	G	C	

**TASK #4**

**INDIVIDUAL FACILITY OPTIONS FEEDBACK**

			1							1
		1								
	1									
1										
1										
1			1							
										1
1			1	1	1					
1			1	1						
1										
	1		1		1					
										1
1	1									
										1
			1							
			1							
1			1							
1			1							
1										

G	F	C	
G	F	C	1st-Build new before moving kids
G	F	C	1st-Ease of building, green space, all kids in same school 2nd-Disadvantage-too much traffic
C	G	F	
F	G	C	
G	F	C	
G	F	C	1st-Extra green space 2nd-Central location
C	G	F	1st-Small school feel, developmental considerations
G	C	F	
G	F	C	
F	G	C	
C	G	F	
C	G	F	1st-Keeping smaller buildings and student bodies 2nd-No disruption to students and environments,"school within a school" 3rd-Too many students (w/ JH) too close proximity
F	G	C	1st-Campus feel-possible lack of gym 2nd-No disruption to kids 3rd-Do not like
F	G	C	1st-Central campus; 1 parking lot 2nd-No Disruption/2 separate parking lots feels like 2 separate schools
G	F	C	1st-Property size-larger playground 2nd-Close to village center 3rd-Room for expansion
G	F	C	1st-Adv:Space avail; location 2nd-Adv:Central location
G	F	C	1st-No disruption 2nd-Campus feel/much disruption 3rd-Logistics of 2 schools-don't like
G	F	C	2nd-Student disruption, no gym 3rd-Too expensive, short term & long term administratively
F	G	C	1st-Location is major advantage 2nd-Student disruption is advantage
G	C	F	1st-1 year construction and no impact on current students 2nd-Portable classrooms 3rd-Construction at current locations, no gyms, health concerns

G	F	C	1st-More potential with Schiesher site with it not being a school
G	F	C	1st-More acres, more space to use, better building design
G	F	C	
G	C	F	
F	G	C	
G	F	C	
G	F	C	
C	G	F	
G	F	C	2nd-Money, same school-one building, timeline
G	F	C	
F	G	C	1st-Campus feel 2nd-Meadows too far south 3rd-Too much transition
C	F	G	
G	F	C	1st-Space-allowing PK-2 and 3-5 to function at their <u>best</u> . Keep what so many love about lisle of high value. (Small fee, etc.) 2nd-More likely to happen than C :)
F	G	C	1st-Ability to sell Meadows property 2nd-Far away from central school area 3rd-Southside of town
F	G	C	1st-Central campus 2nd-No disruption during construction; quicker timeline
G	F	C	
G	F	C	
G	F	C	1st-Best cost 2nd-Too expensive
G	F	C	1st-Use Schiesher as gym space and shorter construction time
F	G	C	2nd-Cost is slightly better, but not enough 3rd-Disadvantages: 2 schools, higher cost
G	C	F	3rd-Too congested with jr. high nearby

**TASK #4**

**INDIVIDUAL FACILITY OPTIONS FEEDBACK**

1		1	1						
	1		1						
1									
	1								
									1
									1
1									
			1						
1			1						
1	1		1						
		1	1						
1	1		1						1
1			1						
1			1						
	1		1		1				

G	C	F	1st-New school more quickly, minimal disruption; congestion in Meadows 2nd-Small schools; disruption to learning and congestion
C	G	F	1st-Like small schools 2nd-More land for bigger building 3rd-Too much congestion w/ bldgs so close
G	F	C	1st-1 location 2nd-Construction 3rd-Two locations *Can we start building sooner?
C	G	F	1st-Advant.-two schools/no prob. With students at Tate 2nd-Disadv.-traffic/congestion 3rd-Disadv.-congestion in the neighborhood/area with Jr. High
G	F	C	1st-It can open sooner and have all kids in one location 2nd-Love this location! But would like to open sooner 3rd-Strongly dislike two schools-that is a major concern we hold right now
G	F	C	1st-Completed construction before moving students, more space
G	F	C	1st-More playground-sport area 2nd-Closer to Jr. High
F	G	C	1st-Keeps "Campus" together w/ JH 2nd-Cheaper 3rd-Way way too expensive
F	G	C	1st-Central location 2nd-No disruption to kids/parents during construction
G	C	F	1st-No disturbance of students 2nd-Separated age groups
G	C	F	1st-Collaboration , less disruption 2nd-Good/Bad of collaboration 3rd-Too much disruption
F	G	C	1st-Adv.-Centrally located, track available, Disadv.-timeline 2nd-Disadv.-Traffic in Meadows <b>Comment: F&amp;G-Better collaboration opportunity</b>
G	C	F	2nd-Don't want Meadows land sold. I live across the street
G	F	C	1st-Opens possibilities for Schiesher property; least disruption during construction
G	F	C	1st-Better construction schedule, no portables 2nd-One bldg.-like the concept 3rd-Disadv.-2 bldgs=more maintenanceand more costs; Adv.-smaller schools

G	F	C	1st-Time to new school; congestion in Meadows 2nd-Disruption and traffic 3rd-Cost
G	C	F	1st-Best price 2nd-Bldg. where go to school not best choice 3rd-Too much congestion working where building is going on is not best choice
G	F	C	
G	C	F	1st-Cost-adv. 2nd-Two schools 3rd-Congestion in the neighborhood
G	F	C	1st-(Lower cost-no referendum) 2nd-Same reasons! Build ASAP 3rd-Cost too much
G	F	C	
G	F	C	
F	G	C	
F	G	C	
G	F	C	1st-Cost effective, logistics good 2nd-One building efficiency
G	F	C	1st-Collaboration, less disruption 2nd-Too much disruption
F	G	C	
G	F	C	
G	F	C	1st-Cheapest option
G	F	C	1st-Almost equal-concern thrown about costof revamping Schiesher <b>Comment: Able to sell Meadows and Tate Woods and help to finance</b> 3rd-2 Bldgs to maintain

**TASK #4**

**INDIVIDUAL FACILITY OPTIONS FEEDBACK**

		1	1						
		1							
	1								
								1	
1	1								
								1	
1									
								1	
1									
			1						
1						1			
1		1							

G	C	F	1st-School completed quicker with no disruption to current students
G	C	F	2nd-Portable classrooms and kids having to go out in weather and being displaced 3rd-No gym
C	F	G	1st-Smaller school 2nd-Campus with Jr. High 3rd-Traffic on 59th & Westview
G	F	C	1st-More green space/flexibility 2nd-Construction nightmare 3rd-Still makes transportation chaos
G	F	C	1st-Separate location in Lisle, more space in exterior, great for Meadows neighborhood 2nd-Single elementary school, but too crowded 3rd-Loss of ball fields, more disruption, continued transitions
G	F	C	1st-No disruption to school schedule; PK-5 one building 2nd-All schools centrally located PK-5 one building 3rd-Problem with transitions between elementary schools
G	F	C	1st-Less disruption for current students 2nd-Share track
C	G	F	1st-Small school feel 2nd-No disruption in learning during construction 3rd-Transportation to/from school
F	G	C	1st-Campus w/ Middle Advantage 2nd-Different side of town-Disadvantage 3rd-Two campuses
G	F	C	1st-A: Use of school property for 202/PD activities; D: Far side of 202 2nd-A:Campus Environment; D: Loss of outdoor activity space 3rd-D: Cost, transitions
G	F	C	1st-Location and no disruptions 2nd-Location 3rd-Two schools-disadvantage- DO NOT WANT
F	G	C	1st-One building close together campus 2nd-Meadows-ease of transportation
G	F	C	1st-One building, parking, good access 2nd-One building, congestion, access issue

G	C	F	1st-LOWEST COST! One school, lower operating costs 2nd-Better collaboration between teachers and students
G	F	C	1st-Good timeline 2nd-Portable classrooms and kids being disrupted; no gym <b>Comment:</b> <i>If your kids are being impacted, even if it's a short time overall it can be a big deal.</i>
C	F	G	1st-Cost not much different <b>Comment:</b> <i>District should prepare for referendum either way. Be able to defend any choice. \$ very similar for all three.</i>
G	F	C	1st & 2nd-Costs not big enough of difference to matter b/n G & F <b>Comment:</b> <i>How long will it take to create a secure entryway for the Jr. High? Is there a priority of order of renovations between the junior high and high school?</i>
G	C	F	3rd-Don't like single campus, would be too crowded with the JH
G	F	C	1st-Most economical while providing state of the art facility 2nd-Cost within funding, but less available space vs. G Too costly
G	F	C	1st-Leaves wiggle room in budget 2nd-Changing track to accommodate traffic flow will increase \$\$ and push over 42 mil.
C	G	F	1st-Can still keep Schiesher 2nd-Big green area; lots of green space also in between wing and school shared resource
F	G	C	1st-Is not that much more than Option G/campus feel with middle school 2nd-Sell to someone else/different, side of town 3rd-Too much \$, two campuses
G	F	C	D: Additional cost of repurposing school property
G	F	C	2nd-Cost, disruption to students 3rd-DO NOT WANT
F	G	C	
G	F	C	1st-Cost

**TASK #4**

**INDIVIDUAL FACILITY OPTIONS FEEDBACK**

	1		1						
								1	
1	1	1							

C	F	G	1st-Separate facility for small children, less congestion, more playground space
G	C	F	1st-Altogether (adv)-very large st. population (dis) breaks up traffic (adv) 2nd-Small community feel (adv), more green space, better parking/traffic; (adv) most room for future expansion keeping 2 buildings functional 3rd-Congestion (dis), tightest layout for 700+ kids (dis) <b>Comment:</b> <i>As a staff member thinking about the small feeling of Lisle and two smaller population schools, and the additional land space for outdoor learning, I hope the board considers taking a closer look at maintaining two elementary schools.</i>
F	G	C	

G	F	C	1st-More space, less congestion
G	C	F	
F	G	C	1st-Proximity to the library 2nd-Long bus ride from NE area; unincorporated area <b>Comment:</b> <i>If you have students at Jr. High and at Schiesher, with Option F you can pick them all up with ONE stop</i>